



Noise Impact Assessment
Innogy Renewables Canada Inc.
Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III Solar Project
S½ 22-013-16 W4M



Prepared For:

Innogy Renewables Canada Inc.

Prepared By:

Mr. Peter Davis, C.E.T.

Mr. James Farquharson, C.E.T.

Issued: November 10, 2017

Project Number: 265102

Sound Advice • Sound Delivery

Executive Summary

Innogy Renewables Canada Inc. (Innogy) wanted to determine the environmental noise impact of equipment associated with the Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III Solar Plant proposed for the S½ of Section 22, Township 013, Range 16, West of the 4th Meridian (S½ 22-013-16 W4M). Innogy retained the services of FDI Acoustics to complete a Noise Impact Assessment for the facility to determine if the predicted cumulative sound levels comply with the Permissible Sound Levels (PSLs) of the Alberta Utilities Commission (AUC) Rule 012, Noise Control¹ (Rule 012), and as applicable, the Alberta Energy Regulator (AER) Directive 038, Noise Control² (Directive 038). Following the requirements of Rule 012 and Directive 038 for cases where additional regulated energy infrastructure facilities exist within 3 kilometres of the project boundary, this assessment considers the cumulative acoustic contribution of those facilities as well. The assessment also provides the foundation to develop noise control measures for any regulated facility's equipment should the predicted cumulative sound levels exceed the PSLs for facilities regulated by the AUC and the AER.

Sound pressure levels related to the significant noise sources associated with the existing regulated energy industry infrastructure and proposed solar project equipment were developed from a combination of manufacturer's data, FDI Acoustics' sound pressure level library and calculated following schemes as presented in texts on the subject.

Following accepted acoustic evaluation practices, the sound pressure level data was used to calculate octave band sound power level values for the significant noise sources associated with the proposed solar plant and existing regulated energy industry infrastructure equipment. These sound power levels were incorporated into the Brüel & Kjær Predictor™ Type 7810 noise propagation software. The noise propagation model calculates the sound level contribution of the solar plant and substation for the nearest or most impacted residence. The predicted facility sound level contributions (daytime and nighttime) are added to the ambient sound levels as prescribed in Rule 012 and Directive 038. The overall results, the cumulative sound levels, are then compared with the PSLs to determine compliance.

Executive Summary (continued)

The results of the modeling along with the PSLs for the receiver location assessed are presented in the following table.

Predicted Sound Levels
Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III Solar Plant

Receiver Location & Sound Level Description	Daytime Sound Level (dBA L _{eq})	Nighttime Sound Level (dBA L _{eq})
Residence 1 - NE¼ 16-013-16 W4M		
Predicted Cumulative Sound Level	45.3	37.1
Permissible Sound Level	50.0	40.0

FDI Acoustics Project 265102

The results of the environmental noise propagation model indicate the cumulative sound levels of the proposed Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III Solar Plant, in combination with existing oil & gas industry facilities and the AltaLink Vauxhall 158S Substation are predicted to comply with the daytime and nighttime PSLs of AUC Rule 012 and AER Directive 038 at the nearest residence.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary.....	I
Table of Contents.....	III
Figures & Tables.....	IV
Scope of Work.....	1
Method	1
Noise Regulation Criteria	2
Permissible Sound Levels	4
Study Area.....	5
Description of Equipment	7
Source Sound Power Levels	9
Noise Propagation Model	11
Results	12
Discussion of Results.....	15
Conclusion	16
Glossary.....	i
Appendix A – Permissible Sound Level Calculation	Ai
Appendix B – References	Bi

Figures & Tables

List of Figures

Photo	Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III Solar Plant Boundary - ©Google Earth	Cover
Figure 1	Local Study Area	Page 5
Figure 2	Overall Study Area	Page 6
Figure 3	Facility Noise Contour	Page 14

List of Tables

Table 1	Permissible Sound Levels	Page 4
Table 2	Sound Power Levels	Page 9
Table 3	Noise Model Environmental Parameters	Page 11
Table 4	Order Ranked Sound Pressure Levels	
	Residence 1 - NE¼ 16-013-16 W4M	Page 12
Table 5	Predicted Sound Levels	Page 15
Table 6	dBC - dBA Low Frequency Evaluation	Page 16

Scope of Work

Innogy Renewables Canada Inc. (Innogy) wanted to determine the environmental noise impact of equipment associated with the Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III Solar Plant proposed for the S½ of Section 22, Township 013, Range 16, West of the 4th Meridian (S½ 22-013-16 W4M). Innogy retained the services of FDI Acoustics to complete a Noise Impact Assessment for the facility to determine if the predicted cumulative sound levels comply with the Permissible Sound Levels (PSLs) of the Alberta Utilities Commission (AUC) Rule 012, Noise Control¹ (Rule 012), and as applicable, the Alberta Energy Regulator (AER) Directive 038, Noise Control² (Directive 038). Following the requirements of Rule 012 and Directive 038 for cases where additional regulated energy infrastructure facilities exist within 3 kilometres of the project boundary, this assessment considers the cumulative acoustic contribution of those facilities as well. The assessment also provides the foundation to develop noise control measures for any facility's equipment should the predicted cumulative sound levels exceed the PSLs for facilities regulated by the AUC and the AER.

Method

Sound pressure levels related to the significant noise sources associated with the proposed solar plant equipment were developed from a combination of manufacturer's data, FDI Acoustics' sound pressure level library and calculated following schemes as presented in texts on the subject. Following accepted acoustic evaluation practices, the sound pressure level data was used to calculate octave band sound power level values for the significant noise sources associated with the equipment proposed for the Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III Solar Plant.

FDI personnel completed an inventory of all facilities regulated under AUC/AER licensure and compiled a list of all residences within 3 km of the proposed facility boundary during a visit to the area on June 27, 2017. Information regarding the topography and vegetation of the area surrounding the facility site was noted at the time of the study area visit and supplemented with information obtained from commercial sources. Sound pressure measurements of any regulated energy industry facilities were undertaken, where applicable, during the study area visit. This information formed the input for an environmental noise propagation computer model that calculates the sound level contribution at the nearest residence, or in lieu of a residence, at 1500 metres. This approach is consistent with the requirements of Rule 012 and Directive 038. The results of the model are presented as the overall solar plant sound level contribution and the predicted cumulative sound levels for the residential receiver location under assessment. A noise contour is then generated to depict where the proposed facility is predicted to comply with the PSLs of the regulations. The results are reviewed with the predicted cumulative sound levels compared with the applicable PSLs to determine compliance.

Noise Regulation Criteria

Rule 012, Noise Control, is the regulation governing environmental noise emissions for facilities under the jurisdiction and licensure of the AUC, Directive 038 is the environmental noise regulation imposed by the AER for facilities under their licensure. Although Rule 012 and Directive 038 are regulations imposed by two separate licensing bodies, the technical aspects, methods for assessment and the reporting criteria of the two are very similar. Each regulation requires the inclusion of noise sources under the jurisdiction of the other approval body when assessing the environmental noise impact of a facility.

The facility licensing requirements of the AUC and the AER require the disclosure of the environmental noise impact through the completion of a Noise Impact Assessment for any new or modified permanent facility licensed after October 17, 1988 where there is a reasonable expectation of an intermittent or continuous noise source. The AER requires the reporting of the nighttime cumulative sound level and the nighttime PSL in Schedule 2.4 of Directive 056. The AER also requires that the applicant keep the Noise Impact Assessment on file for audit purposes. The AUC requests that applicants include a copy of the Noise Impact Assessment with the submission.

Both documents regulate noise by defining criteria for the determination of the permissible sound level (PSL) at the nearest residence (dwelling unit) to a facility. If there is no residence within 1500 metres of the fence line of a facility, a level of 40 dBA L_{eq} must not be exceeded at this distance during the nighttime. Multiple facility environments require the inclusion of all facilities that may contribute to the noise environment at a residence or 1500 metre receiver location. The definition of dwelling unit includes permanent occupied residences, seasonally occupied residences and campgrounds. Actual compliance with the PSLs is only determined by comparing the comprehensive sound levels from a valid comprehensive sound survey with the daytime and nighttime PSLs. Although it is not mandatory to complete a comprehensive sound survey after the commissioning of a new or modified facility, the regulatory bodies expect that the comprehensive sound levels of the facility comply with the applicable PSLs.

Noise Impact Assessments present the calculated comprehensive sound levels (cumulative sound levels) that are derived by adding the predicted contribution of the facility to the ambient sound level for the daytime and nighttime periods. The ambient sound levels include sounds from both far and near the point of measurement except for energy-related industrial sounds. Energy related sounds include specified activities and facilities regulated by the AUC and the AER. The licensee can elect to measure the ambient sound levels at a receiver location when it is believed that the value derived from the basic sound level matrix does not represent the sound environment of the area. Application of the results of an Ambient Sound Survey requires the approval of the AUC and/or the AER. Rule 012 and Directive 038 define the nighttime ambient sound level as being 5 dB lower than the applicable basic sound level for the dwelling unit³.

Noise Regulation Criteria (continued)

Rule 012 and Directive 038 also recognize that low frequency noise⁴ (LFN) emanating from a facility can create concern from nearby residents in some situations where the overall dBA value is below the PSL. In response to this issue the regulations recommend that a Noise Impact Assessment report both the overall predicted “A” weighted sound level (dBA), the overall predicted “C” weighted sound level (dBC), and the dBC - dBA value as a preliminary investigation of the low frequency sound component. A low frequency noise situation is defined as a 20 dB or greater difference in the overall predicted dBC - dBA value along with a clear tonal component below 250 hertz as measured during a valid comprehensive sound survey. A comprehensive sound survey investigation is required to determine if an actual low frequency condition exists. This is a specialized investigation and is required only in response to a LFN complaint in accordance with Rule 012 and Directive 038.

Action with respect to the mitigation of LFN at the Noise Impact Assessment stage is recommended when the following conditions exist;

- a predicted facility sound level contribution that exceeds 35 dBA at a residence and,
- a predicted dBC - dBA value that equals or exceeds 20 dB and,
- source sound power levels that indicate the presence of a LFN tone and,
- modeling results that indicate the potential of a LFN tone satisfying the definition of Rule 012 and Directive 038,
- or for existing facilities with a history of LFN complaints.

Permissible Sound Levels

In accordance with Rule 012 and Directive 038, the PSLs are dwelling unit specific and derived from information regarding the area dwelling unit density; proximity of the dwelling unit to heavily travelled transportation routes including motor vehicle routes, rail lines, aircraft flyways and other specified adjustments. The PSL during the daytime is adjusted to a level 10 dB above the nighttime level to reflect that daytime ambient sound levels are generally higher than nighttime sound levels.

The residence assessed (Residence 1) is located within an area with a dwelling unit density of eight or less units per quarter section of land and at a distance greater than 500 metres from a heavily travelled transportation route. Following the categorization requirements of the Basic Sound Level matrix, the PSLs for Residence 1 were determined as 50 dBA L_{eq} for the daytime, and 40 dBA L_{eq} for the nighttime.

Residence 2, though closer to the solar plant boundary than Residence 1, is within 500 metres of Alberta Highway 36. The proximity Residence 2 to Highway 36 satisfies an initial requirement for the placement of the residence in AUC/AER Proximity to Transportation Category 2 of the Basic Sound Level matrix. Proximity to Transportation Category 2 of the Basic Sound Level matrix is defined as a dwelling unit that is less than 500 metres from a heavily traveled road with a minimum average nighttime traffic count of at least 10 vehicles per hour. Historical traffic volume data for 2016 obtained from Alberta Transportation Planning Branch indicates this section of Highway 36 has an average annual daily traffic count of 2700 vehicles per day. Assuming 10% of the traffic volume occurred during the AUC/AER defined nighttime period it is then reasonable to conclude that Residence 2 is eligible for placement in Proximity to Transportation Category 2. Following the categorization requirements of the Basic Sound Level matrix, the PSLs were determined as 55 dBA L_{eq} for the daytime, and 45 dBA L_{eq} for the nighttime. As Residence 1 is subject to the more stringent daytime and nighttime PSLs of Category 1, it is likely most impacted by existing and proposed energy industry infrastructure.

Table 1 presents the PSLs for the residence assessed with the detailed development presented in Appendix A.

Table 1
Permissible Sound Levels
Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III Solar Plant

Receiver Location	Daytime Permissible Sound Level (dBA L_{eq})	Nighttime Permissible Sound Level (dBA L_{eq})
Residence 1 - NE¼ 16-013-16 W4M	50.0	40.0


FDI Acoustics Project 265102

Study Area

The Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III Solar Plant is proposed for the S½ 22-013-16 W4M approximately 1.7 kilometres north of Vauxhall, Alberta. The 3 kilometre (radius) study area includes a Canadian Natural Resources Ltd. (CNRL) oil battery and 18 satellite wellsites. These sites were in operation at the time of the site visit. The CNRL surface locations are labelled A-S in Figure 1 and Figure 2 and are presented in detail within the Description of Equipment section beginning on page 7. The residence assessed (R1) is in the NE¼ 16-013-16 W4M approximately 590 metres southwest of the Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III facility boundary. Residence 2 (not assessed) is located approximately 535 metres east of the boundary and is approximately 60 metres east of Highway 36. The AltaLink Vauxhall 158S Substation (see figure 2) is located within SW¼ 27-013-16 W4M approximately 845 metres north of the solar plant boundary. The surrounding landscape is described as agricultural land with a generally flat topography.

Figure 1 presents an aerial photograph of the development site indicating the location of the proposed solar plant, the nearest residence as well as other area features.

Figure 1
Local Study Area Map
Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III Solar Plant




FDI Acoustics Project 265102

Study Area (continued)

Figure 2 presents a map of the overall study area indicating the location of the proposed solar plant site, substation and modelled CNRL surface facilities, the nearest residence, the 1500 metre AUC/AER boundary and the 3.0 kilometre study area boundary and other features.

Figure 2
Overall Study Area Map
Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III Solar Plant



FDI Acoustics Project 265102

Description of Equipment

The 26.402400 MW DC rated Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III Solar Plant includes the following equipment:

- Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III Solar Plant: (8) SunGrow SG3000HV Inverter/Transformers, Photovoltaic panels on FS TO horizontal axis trackers.

The electrical power produced at the Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III Solar Plant is tied into the FortisAlberta distribution feeder out of the AltaLink Vauxhall 158S Substation located approximately 845 metres north of the plant boundary.

- T1 Transformer – Federal Pioneer 138/25 kV, 25/33/42 MVA.

Specific information with regard to the Vauxhall 158S Substation operation should be directed to AltaLink.

The AER regulated surface production equipment operated within the study area by CNRL is presented below. Locations of the surface facilities are depicted in Figure 1 and Figure 2 by letter.

- A) CNRL 16-29-013-16 W4M Pad 1: 50 horsepower TEFC motor, beam-style mechanical pumpjack. Well: 102/2-32. 40 horsepower TEFC motor, beam-style mechanical pumpjack. Well: 100/1-32.
- B) CNRL 16-29-013-16 W4M Pad 2: 30 horsepower TEFC motor, beam-style mechanical pumpjack. Well: 100/16-29.
- C) CNRL 14-20-013-16 W4M: Arrow C-96 engine, enclosed, beam-style mechanical pumpjack. Well: 100/14-20.
- D) CNRL 08-20-013-16 W4M: 20 horsepower TEFC motor, beam-style mechanical pumpjack. Well: 100/5-21.
- E) CNRL 04-21-013-16 W4M: 20 horsepower TEFC motor, beam-style mechanical pumpjack. Well: 100/3-21.
- F) CNRL 16-17-013-16 W4M: Arrow C-96 engine, enclosed, beam-style mechanical pumpjack. Well: 00/16-17.
- G) CNRL 06-21-013-16 W4M: 20 horsepower TEFC motor, beam-style mechanical pumpjack. Well: 100/11-21. 20 horsepower TEFC motor, beam-style mechanical pumpjack. Well: 100/7-21.
- H) CNRL 08-21-013-16 W4M Oil Battery: Gas Fired Treater, Gas Fired FWKO, Dual TEFC motor driven centrifugal injection pumps, VRU, (2) electric compressors, recycle pumps. Specific equipment and operational information requests should be directed to CNRL.

Description of Equipment (continued)

- I) CNRL 15-16-013-16 W4M: 20 horsepower TEFC motor, beam-style mechanical pumpjack. Well: 00/2-21.
- J) CNRL 16-16-013-16 W4M: 20 horsepower TEFC motor, beam-style mechanical pumpjack. Well: 00/16-16.
- K) CNRL 13-15-013-16 W4M: 20 horsepower TEFC motor, beam-style mechanical pumpjack. Well: 00/13-15.
- L) CNRL 14-15-013-16 W4M: 40 horsepower TEFC motor, beam-style mechanical pumpjack. Well: 00/14-15. 30 horsepower TEFC motor, beam-style mechanical pumpjack. Well: 00/3-22. 50 horsepower TEFC motor, beam-style mechanical pumpjack. Well: 102/4-22. 50 horsepower TEFC motor, beam-style mechanical pumpjack. Well: 100/11-15.
- M) CNRL 10-15-013-16 W4M: 20 horsepower TEFC motor, beam-style mechanical pumpjack. Well: 00/10-15.
- N) CNRL 05-14-013-16 W4M Satellite: JIRO Enerflex Caterpillar G3304NA engine, rotary screw compressor, Global Heat Transfer cooler, NSI Brute² exhaust. Trailer mounted unit. 30 horsepower TEFC motor, beam-style mechanical pumpjack. Well: 00/7-15.
- O) CNRL 05-14-013-16 W4M: 20 horsepower TEFC motor, beam-style mechanical pumpjack. Well: 00/5-14.
- P) CNRL 01-15-013-16 W4M: 20 horsepower TEFC motor, beam-style mechanical pumpjack. Well: 100/2-15.
- Q) CNRL 13-11-013-16 W4M: 20 horsepower TEFC motor, beam-style mechanical pumpjack. Well: 100/16-10.
- R) CNRL 14-11-013-16 W4M: 30 horsepower TEFC motor, beam-style mechanical pumpjack. Well: 00/14-11.
- S) CNRL 15-11-013-16 W4M: 20 horsepower TEFC motor, beam-style mechanical pumpjack. Well: 100/2-14.

CNRL wellsites within the study area that were observed in operation or appeared to be operable were included in this assessment. Octave band sound power level values for the area pumpjacks were derived from FDI's library of similar measured equipment as actual measurements were beyond the scope of this assessment. The modelled sound power levels for the CNRL LSD 08-21 Oil Battery, LSD 05-14 Satellite and the AltaLink Vauxhall 158S Substation were calculated from fenceline measurements undertaken at the time of the site visit.

Source Sound Power Levels

FDI Acoustics completed calculations to determine sound power levels for the significant noise sources associated with the facility equipment using a combination of manufacturer's data, FDI Acoustics' sound pressure level library and following schemes as presented in texts on the subject.

The sound power values modelled are presented in Table 2 below.

Table 2
Source Sound Power Levels
Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III Solar Plant

Sound Source Description	Sound Power Level (dBA re: 10 ⁻¹² W)									Sum
	Octave Band Frequency (Hertz)									
	31.5	63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000	
<i>SunGrow SG3000HV Inverter/Transformer Skid</i>										
SG3000HV Unit	80.5	86.5	88.5	83.5	83.5	77.5	72.5	67.5	60.5	92.5
<i>AltaLink Vauxhall 158S Substation</i>										
Substation 158S	41.7	52.7	78.9	65.2	81.7	72.1	71.6	79.7	70.2	85.6
<i>CNRL / LSD 16-29-013-16 W4M / 50 HP TEFC Motor-Driven Pumpjack / 102/2-32 Well</i>										
Wellsite Equipment Sum	39.2	47.1	60.4	71.0	73.2	75.3	72.0	81.9	65.1	83.8
<i>CNRL / LSD 16-29-013-16 W4M / 40 HP TEFC Motor-Driven Pumpjack / 100/1-32 Well</i>										
Wellsite Equipment Sum	38.3	45.2	69.7	73.3	77.9	78.1	72.8	69.1	62.1	82.7
<i>CNRL / LSD 16-29-013-16 W4M / 30 HP TEFC Motor-Driven Pumpjack / 100/16-29 Well</i>										
Wellsite Equipment Sum	39.8	47.7	64.0	73.4	78.1	75.8	71.3	67.4	58.8	81.7
<i>CNRL / LSD 14-20-013-16 W4M / Arrow C-96 Engine-Driven Pumpjack / 100/14-20 Well</i>										
Wellsite Equipment Sum	69.2	73.2	79.7	78.9	87.6	88.4	86.7	86.9	81.0	94.1
<i>CNRL / LSD 08-20-013-16 W4M / 20 HP TEFC Motor-Driven Pumpjack / 100/5-21 Well</i>										
Wellsite Equipment Sum	38.1	42.8	57.4	70.7	76.0	76.5	72.0	67.8	58.9	80.8
<i>CNRL / LSD 04-21-013-16 W4M / 20 HP TEFC Motor-Driven Pumpjack / 100/3-21 Well</i>										
Wellsite Equipment Sum	38.1	42.8	57.4	70.7	76.0	76.5	72.0	67.8	58.9	80.8
<i>CNRL / LSD 16-17-013-16 W4M / Arrow C-96 Engine-Driven Pumpjack / 00/16-17 Well</i>										
Wellsite Equipment Sum	69.2	73.2	79.7	78.9	87.6	88.4	86.7	86.9	81.0	94.1
<i>CNRL / LSD 10-15-013-16 W4M / 20 HP TEFC Motor-Driven Pumpjack / 00/10-15 Well</i>										
Wellsite Equipment Sum	38.1	42.8	57.4	70.7	76.0	76.5	72.0	67.8	58.9	80.8
<i>CNRL / LSD 14-15-013-16 W4M / 40 HP TEFC Motor-Driven Pumpjack / 00/14-15 Well</i>										
Wellsite Equipment Sum	38.3	45.2	69.7	73.3	77.9	78.1	72.8	69.1	62.1	82.7
<i>CNRL / LSD 14-15-013-16 W4M / 30 HP TEFC Motor-Driven Pumpjack / 100/3-22 Well</i>										
Wellsite Equipment Sum	39.8	47.7	64.0	73.4	78.1	75.8	71.3	67.4	58.8	81.7
<i>CNRL / LSD 14-15-013-16 W4M / 50 HP TEFC Motor-Driven Pumpjack / 102/4-22 Well</i>										
Wellsite Equipment Sum	39.2	47.1	60.4	71.0	73.2	75.3	72.0	81.9	65.1	83.8
<i>CNRL / LSD 14-15-013-16 W4M / 50 HP TEFC Motor-Driven Pumpjack / 100/11-15 Well</i>										
Wellsite Equipment Sum	39.2	47.1	60.4	71.0	73.2	75.3	72.0	81.9	65.1	83.8

FDI Acoustics Project 265102

Source Sound Power Levels (continued)

Table 2 (continued)
Source Sound Power Levels
Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III Solar Plant

Sound Source Description	Sound Power Level (dBA re: 10 ⁻¹² W)									
	Octave Band Frequency (Hertz)									Sum
	31.5	63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000	
<i>CNRL / LSD 13-15-013-16 W4M / 20 HP TEFC Motor-Driven Pumpjack / 00/13-15 Well</i>										
Wellsite Equipment Sum	38.1	42.8	57.4	70.7	76.0	76.5	72.0	67.8	58.9	80.8
<i>CNRL / LSD 16-16-013-16 W4M / 20 HP TEFC Motor-Driven Pumpjack / 00/16-16 Well</i>										
Wellsite Equipment Sum	38.1	42.8	57.4	70.7	76.0	76.5	72.0	67.8	58.9	80.8
<i>CNRL / LSD 15-16-013-16 W4M / 20 HP TEFC Motor-Driven Pumpjack / 00/2-21 Well</i>										
Wellsite Equipment Sum	38.1	42.8	57.4	70.7	76.0	76.5	72.0	67.8	58.9	80.8
<i>CNRL / LSD 06-21-013-16 W4M / 20 HP TEFC Motor-Driven Pumpjack / 100/11-21 Well</i>										
Wellsite Equipment Sum	38.1	42.8	57.4	70.7	76.0	76.5	72.0	67.8	58.9	80.8
<i>CNRL / LSD 06-21-013-16 W4M / 20 HP TEFC Motor-Driven Pumpjack / 100/7-21 Well</i>										
Wellsite Equipment Sum	38.1	42.8	57.4	70.7	76.0	76.5	72.0	67.8	58.9	80.8
<i>CNRL / LSD 14-11-013-16 W4M / 30 HP TEFC Motor-Driven Pumpjack / 00/14-11 Well</i>										
Wellsite Equipment Sum	39.8	47.7	64.0	73.4	78.1	75.8	71.3	67.4	58.8	81.7
<i>CNRL / LSD 15-11-013-16 W4M / 20 HP TEFC Motor-Driven Pumpjack / 100/02-14 Well</i>										
Wellsite Equipment Sum	38.1	42.8	57.4	70.7	76.0	76.5	72.0	67.8	58.9	80.8
<i>CNRL / LSD 05-14-013-16 W4M / 20 HP TEFC Motor-Driven Pumpjack / 00/5-14 Well</i>										
Wellsite Equipment Sum	38.1	42.8	57.4	70.7	76.0	76.5	72.0	67.8	58.9	80.8
<i>CNRL / LSD 07-15-013-16 W4M / 30 HP TEFC Motor-Driven Pumpjack / 00/7-15 Well</i>										
Wellsite Equipment Sum	39.8	47.7	64.0	73.4	78.1	75.8	71.3	67.4	58.8	81.7
<i>CNRL / LSD 08-21-013-16 W4M / Enchant Battery</i>										
Battery Equipment Sum	70.8	85.4	92.0	97.8	95.9	99.6	99.7	97.0	92.1	105.7
<i>CNRL / LSD 05-14-013-16 W4M / Enchant Satellite</i>										
Satellite Equipment Sum	63.4	79.7	92.7	95.8	91.6	93.5	90.1	81.5	72.5	100.2

FDI Acoustics Project 265102

Noise Propagation Model

The Predictor™ 7810 v8.12 environmental noise assessment software package from Brüel & Kjær Sound & Vibration Measurement A/S was employed to determine the environmental noise impact of the proposed solar plant equipment. The noise prediction program completes complex sound propagation calculations that include the effects of the environment, terrain, and topography. The algorithms used by the model are consistent with international standards, including International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 9613-2:1996 Acoustics – Attenuation of sound during propagation outdoors – Part 2: General method of calculation (ISO 1996)^{5, 6, 7}.

The model has the capability to simulate a series of point, line, area emission sources along with emitting roof and emitting façade. Each source type can be characterized by sound power levels in octave bands. Other parameters such as building dimensions and equipment enclosure noise attenuation ratings, if applicable, are also used to define sound power levels. The Predictor™ model also accounts for noise attenuation related to meteorological conditions (such as temperature and humidity), ground cover and physical barriers, either natural (terrain based) or anthropogenic.

The calculated individual source sound power level complete with information regarding the facility location, equipment layout and the reception location were entered in the model. The meteorological conditions selected favoured the transmission of sound from the facility site to the point of reception.

Table 3 lists the modelled environmental parameters.

Table 3
Noise Model Environmental Parameters
Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III Solar Plant

Environmental Parameter	Model Input Value
Ground Attenuation Factor	0.5
C _o – Local Meteorological Correction	0 (No Meteorological Correction)
Receiver Height Above Ground	1.5m
Relative Humidity	70%
Temperature	+15°C
Wind Velocity	1.0m/sec – 5.0m/sec (ISO 9613 default w/mild inversion)
Topography (8 metre Contour Interval)	w/ Terrain
Wind Direction	From the Prairie Sunlight III Solar Plant to Residence 1

FDI Acoustics Project 265102

Results

Table 4 presents the overall predicted facility sound pressure level and the source sound pressure level contributions as dBA and dBC values for the assessed residential receiver location. The source sound level contribution values are order ranked by the “A” weighted contribution level.

Table 4
Order Ranked Sound Pressure Levels
Residence 1 - NE¼ 16-013-16 W4M
Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III Solar Plant

Source Description	Source Sound Level Contribution (dBC)	Source Sound Level Contribution (dBA)
CNRL 08-21-013-16 W4M: Enchant Battery	44.3	26.6
3A Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III: Inverter/Transformer	53.8	24.4
3B Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III: Inverter/Transformer	53.1	23.7
3D Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III: Inverter/Transformer	51.7	22.2
2A Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III: Inverter/Transformer	51.6	22.1
2B Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III: Inverter/Transformer	51.2	21.7
2D Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III: Inverter/Transformer	50.3	20.7
CNRL 05-14-013-16 W4M: Satellite	36.8	19.7
1C Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III: Inverter/Transformer	49.2	19.6
1E Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III: Inverter/Transformer	48.1	18.4
CNRL 14-15-013-16 W4M: 00/14-15 Pumpjack	20.8	13.8
CNRL 14-15-013-16 W4M: 00/3-22 Pumpjack	20.2	13.1
CNRL 13-15-013-16 W4M: 00/13-15 Pumpjack	17.8	12.6
CNRL 14-15-013-16 W4M: 100/11-15 Pumpjack	18.8	12.0
CNRL 16-17-013-16 W4M: 00/16-17 Pumpjack	36.2	11.9
CNRL 14-15-013-16 W4M: 102/4-22 Pumpjack	18.7	11.9
CNRL 16-16-013-16 W4M: 00/16-16 Pumpjack	16.1	10.6
CNRL 15-16-013-16 W4M: 00/2-21 Pumpjack	14.5	8.8
CNRL 10-15-013-16 W4M: 00/10-15 Pumpjack	11.8	5.4
CNRL 14-20-013-16 W4M: 100/14-20 Pumpjack	30.8	3.4
Vauxhall 158S Substation	14.7	0.9
CNRL 06-21-013-16 W4M: 100/11-21 Pumpjack	8.2	0.6
CNRL 06-21-013-16 W4M: 100/7-21 Pumpjack	8.2	0.5
CNRL 04-21-013-16 W4M: 100/3-21 Pumpjack	7.5	-0.4
CNRL 07-15-013-16 W4M: 00/7-15 Pumpjack	9.3	-0.5

FDI Acoustics Project 265102

Results (continued)

Table 4 (continued)
Order Ranked Sound Pressure Levels
Residence 1 - NE¼ 16-013-16 W4M
Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III Solar Plant

Source Description	Source Sound Level Contribution (dBC)	Source Sound Level Contribution (dBA)
CNRL 01-15-013-16 W4M: 100/2-15 Pumpjack	7.0	-1.1
CNRL 05-14-013-16 W4M: 00/5-14 Pumpjack	6.0	-2.6
CNRL 13-11-013-16 W4M: 00/16-10 Pumpjack	5.6	-3.2
CNRL 08-20-013-16 W4M: 100/5-21 Pumpjack	5.3	-3.6
CNRL 14-11-013-16 W4M: 00/14-11 Pumpjack	6.1	-5.2
CNRL 15-11-013-16 W4M: 100/2-14 Pumpjack	2.8	-7.7
CNRL 16-29-013-16 W4M: 100/16-29 Pumpjack	1.6	-12.5
CNRL 16-29-013-16 W4M: 100/1-32 Pumpjack	-2.5	-14.7
CNRL 16-29-013-16 W4M: 102/2-32 Pumpjack	-7.3	-19.0
Prairie Sunlight III Facility Contribution Sum	60.5	31.0
CNRL Facilities Contribution Sum	45.8	28.4
Combined Area Facilities Contribution Sum	60.7	32.9


FDI Acoustics Project 265102

Order ranked sound pressure levels (Table 4) at a distant point of reception may differ from the facility order ranked sound power levels (Table 2). This can occur for several reasons including the frequency composition of each noise source, the physical height of the noise source above the ground, acoustical shielding at the site or the topography between the site and the receiver.

Results (continued)

Figure 3 presents a noise contour graphic of the overall predicted sound pressure level contribution for the modelled area facilities.

Figure 3
Facility Contribution Noise Contour
Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III Solar Plant



FDI Acoustics Project 265102

Discussion of Results

Table 5 presents the overall predicted facility sound level contribution along with the ambient sound levels of Rule 012 and Directive 038 for the residence assessed. The cumulative sound levels, which are the sum of the predicted facility sound level contribution plus the ambient sound levels (daytime and nighttime) are used in determining compliance with the PSLs. Table 5 also presents the PSLs.

Table 5
Predicted Sound Levels
Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III Solar Plant

Location & Sound Level Descriptor	Daytime Sound Level (dBA L _{eq})	Nighttime Sound Level (dBA L _{eq})
Residence 1 - NE¼ 16-013-16 W4M		
Ambient Sound Level (BSL – 5 dB)	45.0	35.0
Predicted Facility Sound Level Contribution	32.9	32.9
Predicted Cumulative Sound Level	45.3	37.1
Permissible Sound Level	50.0	40.0

FDI Acoustics Project 265102

A comparison of the predicted cumulative sound levels with the PSLs indicates the proposed Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III Solar Plant is predicted to comply with the daytime and nighttime PSL of Rule 012 and Directive 038 at Residence 1.

Discussion of Results (continued)

Table 6 presents the predicted facility contribution as dBC and dBA values along with the difference in these two values. A difference of 20 dB or greater in these two values is an initial requirement in determining if a low frequency component could exist at a reception point.

Table 6
dBC – dBA Low Frequency Evaluation
Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III Solar Plant

Receiver Location	Predicted Sound Levels		
	dBC	dBA	dBC - dBA
Residence 1 - NE¼ 16-013-16 W4M	60.7	32.9	27.8

FDI Acoustics Project 265102

Table 6 indicates the dBC - dBA value for Residence 1 is above the 20 dB threshold as outlined in the regulations for low frequency noise situations. In instances where the dBC - dBA value exceeds the 20 dB limit, the low frequency provisions of the regulations require a complaint originating from a residence and the presence of a tone as measured during a comprehensive sound survey. The regulators review the low frequency noise component of a facility at the second stage of the complaint investigation process. This assessment reports the dBC - dBA value as an initial investigation of the potential of the facility to exceed the low frequency criterion for information purposes.

Conclusion

The results of the environmental noise propagation model indicate the cumulative sound levels of the proposed Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III Solar Plant, in combination with other regulated energy industry infrastructure operating in the area, are predicted to comply with the daytime and nighttime PSL of AUC Rule 012 and AER Directive 038 at the nearest residence.

Glossary

Ambient Sound Level

All noises that exist in an area and are not related to a facility covered by Directive 038 and Rule 012. Ambient noise includes sound from other industrial noise not subject to either regulation for example; transportation sources, animals and nature.

A-weighted sound level

The sound level as measured on a sound level meter using a setting that emphasizes the middle frequency components similar to the frequency response of the human ear.

Basic Nighttime Sound Level (BSL)

The A weighted L_{eq} sound level commonly observed to occur in the designated land-use categories with industrial presence (AUC Directive Glossary). The BSL in the initial building block from which the PSL is determined.

C-weighted sound level

The C-weighting approximates the sensitivity of human hearing at industrial noise levels (above about 85 dBA). The C-weightings sound level (i.e., measured with the C-weightings) is more sensitive to sounds at low frequencies than the A-weighted sound level and is sometimes used to assess the low frequency content of complex sound environments.

Calibration

A procedure used for the adjustment of a sound level meter using a reference source of a known sound pressure level and frequency. Calibration must take place before and after the sound level measurements.

Comprehensive Sound Level (CSL)

The measured sound level that is a composite of different airborne sounds from many sources both far away and near the point of measurement as measured during a valid comprehensive sound survey. The CSL does include industrial components and must be measured with them, but it should exclude abnormal noise events. The CSL is used to determine whether a facility is compliant with Directive 038 or Rule 012.

Cumulative Sound Level

All AER and AUC regulated facility sound as predicted in the environmental noise model plus the ambient sound level. The cumulative sound level is used for determination of compliance with the allowable PSLs in the preparation of a Noise Impact Assessment.

Daytime

Defined as the hours from 07:00 to 22:00.

Daytime adjustment

An adjustment that allows a 10 dBA increase above the basic sound level for nighttime, as daytime sound levels are generally about 10 dBA higher than nighttime values.

dB (decibel) or dBZ	The decibel (dB) is a logarithmic unit of measurement that expresses the magnitude of a physical quantity (usually power or intensity) relative to a specified or implied reference level. Since it expresses a ratio of two quantities with the same unit, it is a dimensionless unit. A decibel is one tenth of a bel (B). A reference pressure of 20 microPascals (μPa) is used because sounds in air at a frequency of 1000 Hz and with a pressure of 20 microPascals (μPa) can just barely be heard by most people.
dBA	The decibel (dB) sound pressure level filtered through the A filtering network to approximate human hearing response. See dB and A-weighted sound level.
dBZ	The decibel (dB) sound pressure level is adjusted to include the low frequency end of the spectrum. Although less consistent with human hearing than dBA, dBZ can be used to discern the impact of low frequency sound emissions from industrial operations.
Energy equivalent sound level (L_{eq})	The L_{eq} is a single-number average, A-weighted sound level that represents cumulative acoustical energy as measured over a specified time interval. This interval should be specified in brackets following the L_{eq} (e.g.: L_{eq} (9) is a nine-hour L_{eq}).
ENM	Environmental noise prediction software created by RTA Technology Pty. Ltd.
Facility	Any operation used in exploration, processing, development and transportation of energy resources.
Infringement	Locating a residence within the existing noise footprint (boundary) of a facility, such that the facility could be seen as not complying with Directive 038 or Rule 012.
L_{eq}	See Energy equivalent sound level.
Nighttime	Defined as the hours from 22:00 to 07:00.
Noise	Generally understood as unwanted sound.
Noise Impact Assessment (NIA)	Identifies the expected sound level emanating from a facility as measured 15 m from the nearest or most impacted permanently or seasonally occupied dwelling or other reception point as defined by Directive 038 or Rule 012. It also identifies what the permissible sound level is and how it was calculated.

Octave	A series of electronic filters separate sound into discrete frequency bands, making it possible to know how sound energy is distributed as a function of frequency. The octave band has a centre frequency that is double the centre frequency of the octave band preceding it.
1/3 Octave	The 1/3 octave band analysis provides a finer breakdown of sound distribution as a function of frequency.
Permissible Sound Level (PSL)	The maximum sound level that a facility should not exceed at a point 15m from the nearest or most impacted dwelling unit.
Representative conditions	Those conditions typical for an area and/or the nature of a complaint. Sound levels must be taken only when representative conditions exist; this may necessitate a survey of extensive duration (two or more consecutive nights).
Sound monitoring survey	The measurement and recording of sound levels and pertinent related information over a given time period.
Sound level meter	An instrument designed and calibrated to respond to sound and to give objective, reproducible measurements of sound pressure levels. It normally has several features that enable its frequency response and averaging times to be changed.
Sound pressure level	A measurement of the local pressure deviation from the ambient (average, or equilibrium) pressure caused by a sound wave.
Sound power level	Expressed in decibels (dB), it is equal to 10 times the logarithm to the base 10 of the ratio of the sound power of the source to a reference sound power level, typically 10^{-12} watts.
Spectrum	A wide range or sequence of frequencies.
Tonal components (low frequency noise)	A test for the presence of tonal components consists of two parts. The first must demonstrate that the sound pressure level of and one of the linear, (Z-weighted), 1/3 octave bands between 20 and 250 Hz is 10 dBZ or more than the sound pressure level of at least one of the adjacent bands within two 1/3 octave bands widths. In addition, there must be a minimum of a 5 dBZ drop from the band containing the tone within two bandwidths on the opposite side. The second part is that the tonal component must be a pronounced peak clearly obvious within the spectrum.
Windscreen	A specialized piece of porous sponge that fits over the microphone to reduce the noise generated by the wind blowing across the microphone.

Appendix A – Permissible Sound Level Calculation

Residence 1 - NE¼ 16-013-16 W4M

Permissible Sound Level (PSL) Determination
Solar Krafte Prairie Sunlight III Solar Plant
AUC Rule 012 / AER Directive 038

Basic Nighttime Sound Level

Proximity to Transportation*	Dwelling Unit Density per ¼ Section of Land**		
	1-8 Dwellings (dBA)	9-160 Dwellings (dBA)	>160 Dwellings (dBA)
Category 1	40	43	46
Category 2	45	48	51
Category 3	50	53	56

Daytime Sound Level Adjustment Basic Sound Level

Class A Adjustments

Class	Reason for Adjustment	Value (dB)
A1	Seasonal Adjustment (Wintertime)	0 to +5
A2	Ambient Monitoring Adjustment	-10 to +10

Class A Adjustment = Sum of A1 and A2 (as applicable), but not to exceed a maximum of 10 dB

Total Class A Adjustments

Class B Adjustments

Class	Duration of Activity	Value (dB)
B1	1 Day	+15
B2	7 Days	+10
B3	< or = to 60 Days	+5
B4	>60 Days	0

Class B Adjustment = one only of B1, B2, B3 or B4 allowed

Total Class B Adjustments

Permissible Sound Levels (dBA)

Nighttime (22:00-07:00) (dBA L _{eq})	Daytime (07:00-22:00) (dBA L _{eq})
40	40
N/A	+10
40	50
0	0
N/A	N/A
0	0
0	0
40	50

The average rural ambient noise level is 5 dBA less than the Basic Sound Level.

*Proximity to Transportation Category Definitions:

Category 1: Dwelling units more than 500m from heavily travelled roads and/or rail lines and not subject to frequent aircraft flyovers.

Category 2: Dwelling units more than 30m but less than 500m from heavily travelled roads and/or rail lines and not subject to frequent aircraft flyovers.

Category 3: Dwelling units less than 30m from heavily travelled roads and/or rail lines and subject to frequent aircraft flyovers.

**Density per quarter section refers to a quarter section with the affected dwelling at the centre (a 451 metre radius). For quarter sections with various land uses or with mixed densities, the density chosen is then averaged for the area under consideration.

Appendix B – References

1. Alberta Utilities Commission (AUC) [Rule 012, Noise Control](#) Effective July 04, 2017.
2. Alberta Energy Regulator (AER) [Directive 038, Noise Control](#) Approved February 16, 2007. Calgary, Alberta.
3. Environment Council of Alberta, [Public Hearings on Noise in Alberta: Report and Recommendations](#), 1982.
4. Journal of the Canadian Acoustical Association Vol. 29 No. 3 p. 74-77. [Quantifying Receptor Annoyance from Low Frequency Industrial Noise in the Environment](#) DeGagne D.C., Remmer, W. 2001.
5. International Organization for Standardization (ISO 9613-1), [Attenuation of sound during propagation outdoors - Part 1: Calculation of the absorption of sound by the atmosphere](#), Approved 1993.
6. International Organization for Standardization (ISO 9613-2), [Attenuation of sound during propagation outdoors - Part 2: General method of calculation](#), Approved 1996.
7. International Organization for Standardization (ISO 1996-2:2007), [Description, measurement, and assessment of environmental noise - Part 2: Determination of environmental noise levels](#), Approved 2007 (Revises ISO 1996-2:1987 Acoustics – Description and measurement of environmental noise – Part 2: Acquisition of data pertinent to land use).